

Upper bound

For energy level $z \in \mathbb{R}$, let $G_z := (H - zI)^{-1}$ be the resolvent, or Green's fcn., of H . Think of G_z as a $W \times W$ block matrix and let $G_z(x, y)$ be its (x, y) block ($1 \leq x, y \leq n$).

Our result: $\forall z \in \mathbb{R}, \forall s \in (0, 1) \exists c_s, \zeta_s > 0$ s.t. $\forall x, y$

$$\mathbb{E}[\|G_z(x, y)\|^s] \leq W^{c_s} \exp(-\zeta_s \frac{|x-y|}{W^3})$$

E.g. operator norm

This is known to imply localization when $n \ll W^3$ ($\Leftrightarrow N \ll W^3$)

For simplicity, fix $z=0$.

Write G_j for $G_{z=0}(1, j)$ and focus on results for G_n .

Basic approach (Schenker 2009, suggestion of Aizenman):

1) a-priori bound:

$$P(\|G_n\| > t) \leq \frac{\text{poly}(W, n)}{t}, \quad t > 1.$$

2) Explicit formula:

$$G_n = T_1^{-1} T_1^* T_2^{-1} T_2^* \dots T_{n-1}^* T_n^{-1}$$

where $T_1 := V_1, T_j := V_j - T_{j-1}^{-1} T_{j-1}^*$ for $2 \leq j \leq n$. (*)

3) The formula suggests that $\log \|G_n\|$ is like a sum of independent contributions and hence should have wide spread. However, the a-priori bound shows $\log \|G_n\|$ is unlikely to be large. Hence $\log \|G_n\|$ should typically be small.

We will formalize this to prove

$$P(\|G_n\| \geq e^{-c \frac{n}{W^3}}) \leq C e^{-c \frac{n}{W^3}}$$

Together with the a-priori bound, this will imply that for $s \in (0, 1)$, $\mathbb{E}(\|G_n\|^s) \leq W^{c_s} e^{-c_s \frac{n}{W^3}}$.

(1) and (2) are standard. We explain here our formalization of (3).

Details from proof:

Details From proof:

1) Change of variables: The (T_j) are Hermitian by $(*)$.

We change variables from (V, T) to (T, T) . By $(*)$ the jacobian of this transformation is 1. Hence the density of (T, T) is

$$p(T, T) = \frac{1}{Z_{n,W}} \exp(-W(\sum_j \|T_j\| + T_{j-1}^{-1} T_{j-1}^{-*} T_j^* / H_j^2 + \sum_j \|T_j\|_{H_j^2}))$$

where we put $T_{j-1}^{-1} T_{j-1}^{-*} T_j^* = 0$ for $j=1$.

2) Inducing fluctuations (an "adaptive Mermin-Wagner transformation")

We seek to perturb (T, T) in a way that doesn't change the density p too much but does change our target observable $\|G_n\|$ significantly.

Lemma (with origins in Pfister 1987, Richthammer 2007, Mitos-Peled 2015):

Let X be a random variable in \mathbb{R}^m with density p .

Let $S^+, S^-: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be absolutely-continuous bijections.

Then for event E

$$\sqrt{P(X \in S^+(E)) P(X \in S^-(E))} \geq \alpha(E, S^+, S^-) P(X \in E)$$

with

$$\alpha(E, S^+, S^-) = \inf_{x \in E} \frac{\sqrt{p(S^+(x)) p(S^-(x))}}{p(x)} \sqrt{J^+(x) J^-(x)}$$

where $J^\pm: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are the jacobians

$$J^\pm(x) := \left| \det \left(\frac{\partial S^\pm}{\partial x} (x) \right) \right|$$

(so that $P(X \in S^\pm(E)) = \int_{S^\pm(E)} p(x) dx = \int_E p(S^\pm(x)) J^\pm(x) dx$)

Proof: set $I := \int_E \sqrt{p(S^+(x)) p(S^-(x)) J^+(x) J^-(x)} dx$.

... and

\dots $E^w \dots$

Then, on the one hand,

$$I \geq \alpha(E, S^+, S^-) \int_E \rho(x) dx = \alpha(E, S^+, S^-) P(X \in E).$$

on the other hand, by $\zeta \sim \delta^2$,

$$I \leq \left(\int_E \rho(S^+(x)) J^+(x) dx \int_E \rho(S^-(x)) J^-(x) dx \right)^{1/2} = \sqrt{P(X \in S^+(E)) P(X \in S^-(E))}.$$

3) How to use the above lemma:

We define the mappings $S^\pm(\tau, T) = (T, T^\pm)$

With $T_j^\pm = e^{\pm \delta F_j} T_j$ with a small $\delta > 0$

and $F_j = F_j(\|T_j\|_{HS}, \|V_{j+1}\|_{HS}, \|T_{j+1}\|_{HS}, \|T_j^{-1}\|_{HS}) \in [0, 1]$

is a smooth fcn. which typically equals 1 but smoothly transitions to 0 if any of its arguments is abnormally large ($\|T_j\|_{HS} \gg \sqrt{W}$, $\|V_{j+1}\|_{HS}, \|T_{j+1}\|_{HS}, \|T_j^{-1}\|_{HS} \gg W$)

These are bijections when $\delta W \ll 1$. (typically, $\|V_{j+1}\|_{HS}$ does not exceed \sqrt{W} but this relaxed bound suffices for us)

It is proved that

$$\alpha(\text{Space}, S^+, S^-) \geq e^{-c_0 \delta^2 n W^3} \quad (**)$$

Let

$$E = \{ \|G_n\| \geq e^{-\frac{c_0}{64} \frac{n}{W^3}} \} \cap \{ \sum_j F_j \geq \frac{n}{2} \}$$

By lemma,

$$\sqrt{P((\tau, T) \in S^+(E))} \geq \sqrt{P((\tau, T) \in S^+(E)) P((\tau, T) \in S^-(E))} \geq e^{-c_0 \delta^2 n W^3} P(E)$$

i) $P(E) \geq P(\|G_n\| \geq e^{-\frac{c_0}{64} \frac{n}{W^3}}) - P(\text{at least half of the } F_j \text{ are not } 1)$

$\leq e^{-cn}$. Uses result of

Aizenman-P. Schenker-Shaw's Solin (2017)

to control norm of T_j^{-1}

(inverse of GUE + arbitrary Hermitia is under control)

ii) $P((\tau, T) \in S^+(E)) \leq P(\|G_n\| \geq e^{-\frac{c_0}{64} \frac{n}{W^3}} e^{\frac{1}{2} \delta n}) \leq \text{poly}(W, n) e^{-\frac{1}{2} \delta n + \frac{c_0}{64} \frac{n}{W^3}}$

a-priori bound

Thus, $P(\|G_n\| \geq e^{-\frac{c_0}{64} \frac{n}{W^3}}) \leq \text{poly}(W, n) e^{-\frac{1}{4} \delta n + \frac{c_0}{128} \frac{n}{W^3} + c_0 \delta^2 n W^3} + e^{-cn}$

Thus, $P(\|G_n\| \geq e^{-\frac{c_0 n}{64 W^3}}) \leq \text{poly}(W, n) e^{-\frac{1}{4} \ln n + \frac{c_0 n}{128 W^3} + c_0 \delta^4 n W^2} + e^{-cn}$
 Choose $\delta = \frac{1}{8c_0 W^3}$ to get $P(\|G_n\| \geq e^{-\frac{c_0 n}{64 W^3}}) \leq \text{poly}(W, n) e^{-\frac{c_0 n}{128 W^3} + e^{-cn}}$
 as we wanted to prove.

4) The bottleneck for (**):

Examine $\inf_{(T, T) \in E} \frac{\sqrt{P(S^+(T, T)) P(S^-(T, T))}}{\rho(T, T)}$

To estimate it, use that \forall matrices A, B, C

$$\frac{1}{2} (\|A+B+C\|_{HS}^2 + \|A-B+C\|_{HS}^2) - \|A\|_{HS}^2 = \|B\|_{HS}^2 + 2 \text{Re}(\text{tr}(C^* A))$$

The contributing term in the density ρ is

$$\|T_j + T_{j-1} T_{j-1}^{-1} T_{j-1}^*\|_{HS}^2$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} T_j + T_{j-1}^\pm T_{j-1}^{-1} T_{j-1}^{\pm*} &= T_j + T_{j-1} T_{j-1}^{-1} T_{j-1}^* e^{\pm 2\delta F_{j-1}} \\ &= \underbrace{T_j + T_{j-1} T_{j-1}^{-1} T_{j-1}^*}_{=: A = V_j} + T_{j-1} T_{j-1}^{-1} T_{j-1}^* (e^{\pm 2\delta F_{j-1}} - 1) \end{aligned}$$

So that $B \approx \delta F_{j-1} T_{j-1} T_{j-1}^{-1} T_{j-1}^* = \delta F_{j-1} (V_j - T_j)$

$C \approx \delta^2 F_{j-1}^2 T_{j-1} T_{j-1}^{-1} T_{j-1}^* = \delta^2 F_{j-1}^2 (V_j - T_j)$

and we have $\|B\|_{HS}^2 \leq \delta^2 W^2 \leftarrow$ This is the main contributing factor

$\text{Re}(\text{Tr}(C^* A)) \lesssim \delta^2 W^2 \leftarrow$ This estimate can be reduced with tighter control on $\|V_j\|_{HS}$

as $F_{j-1} (\|V_j\|_{HS} + \|T_j\|_{HS}) \lesssim W$.

Recalling the extra factor of W multiplying the norms in the density ρ we get a factor of $\delta^2 n W^3$ in (**).